

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF ELECTION
(PCT Rule 61.2)

Date of mailing (day/month/year) 13 November 1998 (13.11.98)	in its capacity as elected Office
International application No. PCT/CA98/00274	Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-4 LAB
International filing date (day/month/year) 03 April 1998 (03.04.98)	Priority date (day/month/year) 21 April 1997 (21.04.97)
Applicant	
ODIDI, Isa et al	

1. The designated Office is hereby notified of its election made:

in the demand filed with the International Preliminary Examining Authority on:

22 October 1998 (22.10.98)

in a notice effecting later election filed with the International Bureau on:

2. The election was

was not

made before the expiration of 19 months from the priority date or, where Rule 32 applies, within the time limit under Rule 32.2(b).

<p>The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland</p> <p>Facsimile No.: (41-22) 740.14.35</p>	<p>Authorized officer</p> <p>Nicola Wolff</p> <p>Telephone No.: (41-22) 338.83.38</p>
---	---

PCT**COMMUNICATION IN CASES FOR WHICH
NO OTHER FORM IS APPLICABLE**

To:

RO: CA

Date of mailing (*day/month/year*)
31 August 1998 (31.08.1998)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
9577-4 LAB

REPLY DUE
see paragraph 1 below

International application No.
PCT/CA98/00274

International filing date (*day/month/year*)
03 April 1998 (03.04.1998)

Applicant
ODIDI, Isa

1. REPLY DUE within _____ months/days from the above date of mailing

NO REPLY DUE, however, see below

IMPORTANT COMMUNICATION

INFORMATION ONLY

2. COMMUNICATION:

NOTIFICATION OF (CANCELLATION)(CORRECTION) OF PRIORITY CLAIM

The applicant is hereby notified that the International Bureau has taken the following action in respect of the priority claim(s) made in the above-identified PCT international application.

The priority claim(s), identified below, has(have), in accordance with the applicant's request been (corrected to read/cancelled):

(US) (21 April 1997 (21.04.97)) (60/036,551).

A copy of this notification has been sent to the receiving Office, the International Searching Authority, and the designated Offices (*which have already been notified of the receipt of the record copy*).

The International Bureau of WIPO
34, chemin des Colombettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorized officer

S. Cruz

Facsimile No. (41-22) 740.14.35

Telephone No. (41-22) 338.83.38

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

To:

BARTOSZEWCZ, L.
Sim & McBurney
330 University Avenue
6th floor
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1R7
CANADA

PCT

NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Rule 71.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

16.07.99

Applicant's or agent's file reference
9577-4 LAB

IMPORTANT NOTIFICATION

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/04/1998	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/04/1997
---	--	--

Applicant
ODIDI, Isa et al.

1. The applicant is hereby notified that this International Preliminary Examining Authority transmits herewith the international preliminary examination report and its annexes, if any, established on the international application.
2. A copy of the report and its annexes, if any, is being transmitted to the International Bureau for communication to all the elected Offices.
3. Where required by any of the elected Offices, the International Bureau will prepare an English translation of the report (but not of any annexes) and will transmit such translation to those Offices.

4. REMINDER

The applicant must enter the national phase before each elected Office by performing certain acts (filing translations and paying national fees) within 30 months from the priority date (or later in some Offices) (Article 39(1)) (see also the reminder sent by the International Bureau with Form PCT/IB/301).

Where a translation of the international application must be furnished to an elected Office, that translation must contain a translation of any annexes to the international preliminary examination report. It is the applicant's responsibility to prepare and furnish such translation directly to each elected Office concerned.

For further details on the applicable time limits and requirements of the elected Offices, see Volume II of the PCT Applicant's Guide.

RECEIVED

JUL 20 1999

SIM & MCBURNEY

Name and mailing address of the IPEA

SIM, HUGHES, ASHTON & MCKAY

Authorized officer



European Patent Office

D-80298 Munich

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d

Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Tantum, P

Tel. (+49-89) 2399-8143



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-4 LAB	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No. PCT/CA98/00274	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/04/1998	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/04/1997	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K9/22			
Applicant ODIDI, Isa et al.			

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). <p>These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.</p>

<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. This report contains indications relating to the following items: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application
--

Date of submission of the demand 22/10/1998	Date of completion of this report 16.07.99
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Authorized officer Ortega Plaza, M.D. Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399 8284



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (*substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.*):

Description, pages:

1-17 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-10,23 (part), as originally filed
24-29

11-22,23 (part) as received on 11/05/1999 with letter of 11/05/1999

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages:
 the claims, Nos.:
 the drawings, sheets:

3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application.
 claims Nos. 27.

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

- the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 27 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

see separate sheet

- the claims, or said claims Nos. 27 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

- no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. .

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims 5-9, 15, 19-26
	No:	Claims 1-6, 10, 12-14, 16-18, 28, 29
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims
	No:	Claims 1-26, 28,29
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims 1-26, 28, 29
	No:	Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

Section III.

1. The composition as claimed in claim 27 relates to a "tablet which demonstrates the following cumulative percent release dissolution criteria using a pH gradient method of dissolution; 0-40% released in 1 hour in dissolution media of pH 1.50, 0-50% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 4.5, 5-70% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 6.5, 20-100% released in 15 hours in dissolution media of pH 7.5."

No information with respect to the methodology implied above could be found in the description. Moreover, no support could be found in the description as originally filed for the data appearing in said claim 27.

Section V.

1. The following documents have been considered for the establishment of the present preliminary report:

D1 = US-A-5000962

D2 = EP-A-0226884

D3 = Derwent abstract of JP-A-03206039

D4 = EP-A-0253490

D5 = EP-A-0157695

D6 = US-A-5015479

D7 = US-A-5451409

D8 = EP-A-0468436

D9 = Shan-Yang Lin, European Journal of pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 42(3), 193-198 (1996).

D10 = US-A-4601894 (cited in the description)

2. Claim 1 relates to a controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising:

- a) at least one pharmaceutically active substance
- b) a first "intelligent" polymer component

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

c) a second "intelligent" polymer component having **opposite wettability** characteristics to said first "intelligent" polymer component

the first and the second polymer components being present in a ratio in the range of about 1:100 to about 100:1 by weight.

D1 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising as pharmaceutically active substance diltiazem and further comprising hydroxymethyl cellulose or hydroxyethylcellulose used alone or in combination (cf. column 2, lines 58-61). Moreover, the compositions disclosed in D1 further comprise ethylcellulose as hydrophobic component (cf. column 4 and examples 2 and 3). Therefore, the presently claimed subject-matter (cf. claims 1-5, 6, 14, 16, 28) lacks novelty over the contents of D1. The feature "not less than **about 5%** by weight ethylcellulose" does not exclude the content 4% by wt. ethylcellulose (cf. D1). The said claims do not include the technical feature that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability. Accordingly, in the absence of the said feature the mentioned claims encompass the tablets disclosed in D1.

D2 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions as those claimed in claims 1,2,5,10, 16-18, 28 and 29 (cf. i.a. example 1, the composition comprising diltiazem, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose and pages 10 to 12). D2 also discloses compositions further comprising coating agents and additives as those defined in the above mentioned claims 5,10, 17,18.

The pharmaceutical compositions disclosed in D3 fall within the scope of present claim 1 (2,3,5,10,12,13,16,29) since they comprise nifedipine as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprise ethylcellulose (EC) and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose.

D4 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising carbidopa/levodopa as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprising a system of two polymers with opposed hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

characteristics. The polymer components hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose (EC) and are listed on page 3. Moreover, since claim 1 does not include specific definitions of the polymer components the composition of example 3 of D4 falls within its scope. Additionally, the pharmaceutically active substance(s) are dispersed within a polymer matrix in the compositions of D4.

The contents of D5 are novelty destroying for the subject-matter claimed in claims 1- and 8 since it discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (cf. page 12), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. The compositions of D5 further comprise a surface active agent (cf. i.a. examples 3-4 of D5 and compare with present claim 8).

The present compositions appear to be novel over the compositions disclosed in D6, D7, D9 basically, in view that they are comprising two different polymer components having opposite wettability characteristics.

The sustained release compositions disclosed in D8 fall within the scope of present claim 1 since they are comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (theophylline), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylcellulose. The method for preparing the compositions of D8 differs from the presently claimed method (cf. claim 23) basically due to the fact that the pharmaceutically active substance is worked out separately with each polymer component to form a two separate sustained release powders which are then mixed together (cf. example 7 of D8). The compositions as defined in claim 1 lack novelty since there is no indication to the homogeneous polymer matrix.

The subject-matter claimed in claim 1 lacks novelty vis-à-vis the contents of D10 in view of the fact that D10 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising at least one pharmaceutically active substance (they comprise three) within a uniform matrix comprising as carrier hydroxypropylcellulose and ethyl cellulose.

It is to be stressed that the statement of a different mechanism for achieving the purpose of controlled release cannot serve to establish novelty over the prior art compositions as far as such effect is not linked to **new technical features**

included in the claims for defining the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The broadly and vaguely formulated claims have the effect that they encompass known compositions and hence the **claimed** subject-matter lacks novelty. Moreover, contrary to Applicant's comments claim 1 does not exclude the option of having only one first intelligent polymer and one second intelligent polymer. Nothing in claim 1 presupposes that the hydrophilic component comprises **two** polymers and the hydrophobic component comprises **one** polymer.

3. The problem underlying the present patent application appears to lie in the provision of further controlled release pharmaceutical compositions useful for sustained release delivery of pharmaceutically active substances.
It becomes evident from the analysis of the prior art made in point 2 above that the presently claimed subject-matter encompasses known solutions for the said technical problem. Moreover, those claims which appear to be novel in the light of the prior art cited above, merely differ therefrom in the choice and/or combination of known pharmaceutically acceptable additives. Accordingly, in the absence of any proven unexpected effect over the closest known controlled release pharmaceutical compositions, the presently claimed subject-matter lacks an inventive step.

Section VIII.

1. Claims 1, 14 and 19 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons.

The mentioned claims do not include all the technical features which should characterize the invention in a clear and complete manner as contribution to the art. The mentioned claims are silent about the fact that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability (cf. pages 4, line 36 and 8, line 24). Other essential features are the proportion of each polymers in the polymer blend, the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of the polymers (cf. page 5).

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

Moreover, the use of broad and vague expressions such as "intelligent polymer" renders obscure the scope for which protection has been sought (cf. claim 1).

Moreover, such an expression has no standard meaning in the polymer field and has not been defined in the description.

Additionally, the expression "the polymer components being effective for controlled release of said pharmaceutically active substance from said composition" relates to the result-to-be-achieved and is insufficient for defining the nature of the polymer components.

The fact that the amount of ethylcellulose in the composition should not be less than 5% wt/wt is lacking in claim 1. This is an essential feature of the invention (cf. i.a. page 8).

The use of the expression "about" in connexion with ranges of values of essential parameters renders obscure the scope for which protection is sought (cf. for instance "about" in claim 14).

The technical feature "having a water contact angle" does not bring any actual restriction with respect to the nature of the pharmaceutically active substance, in view of the broad range values for the cosine function. This is proven by the list of possible agents given on pages 10-12 of the description.

11. The composition of claim 10, wherein said channeling agent is anhydrous lactose.

12. The composition of claim 1, wherein said composition further comprises 5% to 30% compression enhancer.

5

13. The composition of claim 10, wherein said compression enhancer is microcrystalline cellulose.

14. A controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising:

10 (a) from about 0.5% to about 70% by weight of a pharmaceutically active substance having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848;
(b) not less than about 5% by weight ethylcellulose;
(c) about 1:100 to 100:1 hydroxycellulose and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose by weight;

15 (d) about 0.25% to 5% excipients; and

(e) about 0.5% to 15% surface active agents.

15. The composition of claim 14, wherein said composition additionally comprises

- about 10% to 70% channeling agents; and

20 - about 5% to 30% compression enhancers.

16. The composition as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 15, made in the form of a compressed tablet.

25 17. The tableted composition of claim 16, wherein said tableted composition has a anionic copolymer coating.

18. The tableted composition of claim 17, wherein said copolymer coating comprises methacrylic acid and methyl methacrylate, from about 0% to 25% plasticizer, from about 0% 30 to 25% pigment, from about 0% to 30% glidant and from about 0% to 30% lubricant.

30 19. A controlled release composition, the composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a pharmaceutically active ingredient having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848; two groups of intelligent polymers having opposing wettability characteristics, one group demonstrating a stronger tendency towards hydrophobicity and present in an amount not less than 5% wt/wt and the other group having a

stronger tendency towards hydrophilicity and present in the ratio of about 1:100 and 100:1 by weight, the polymers being ethylcellulose (EC) as a more strongly hydrophobic and hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) as more strongly hydrophilic, about 0.25% to 5% silicon dioxide; and about 0.5% to 15% sodium lauryl sulfate.

20. The composition of claim 19, wherein said composition additionally comprises about 10% to 70% anhydrous lactose and about 5% to 30% microcrystalline cellulose.
- 10 21. The composition of claim 18 or 20, wherein said composition is provided as a tablet and has a coating composition comprising anionic copolymers sufficient to obtain about 0.5 to 15 mg per cm² of tablet.
- 15 22. The composition of claim 21, wherein said coating composition additionally comprises from about 0 to 25% plasticizer, about 0 to 25% pigment, about 0 to 30% and about 0 to 30% lubricant.
23. A process for the manufacture of a sustained release composition of pharmaceutically active substance, said process comprising:
- 20 (a) admixing a pharmaceutically active substance having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848;
- 25 (b) blending the pharmaceutically active ingredient with about 5 to 25% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, about 1 to 25% hydroxyethylcellulose, about 0.25% to 5% suitable pharmaceutical excipients, about 0.5% to 15% suitable surface active agents, and about 10% to 70% channelling agents in a high shear mixer until a homogeneous mixture is obtained;
- (c) granulating the homogeneous blend with isopropyl alcohol (99%) in a planetary or high shear mixer;
- 30 (d) drying the wet granules to a loss on drying of about <3% and organic volatile impurities of isopropyl alcohol about <15000 ppm;
- (e) milling the dry granules to about <1500 microns;
- (f) adding and blending about 5% to 70% of ethylcellulose having 30-60% ethoxy content and a viscosity of 60- 100 cps to the dry milled granules until a homogeneous blend is obtained;

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINING AUTHORITY

RECEIVED
09/403437 FEB 16 1999

SIM & McBURNEY
SIM, HUGHES, ASHTON & MCKAY

PCT

To:

BARTOSZEWICZ, L.
Sim & McBurney
330 University Avenue
6th floor
Toronto, Ontario M5G 1R7
CANADA

WRITTEN OPINION

(PCT Rule 66)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year)

11. 02. 99

REPLY DUE within ~~3~~² month(s)
from the above date of mailing

Applicant's or agent's file reference
9577-4 LAB

International application no. PCT/CA98/00274	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/04/1998	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/04/1997
---	--	--

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
A61K9/22

Applicant

ODIDI, Isa et al.

1. This written opinion is the **first** drawn up by this International Preliminary Examining Authority.

2. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I Basis of the opinion
- II Priority
- III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV Lack of unity of invention
- V Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI Certain documents cited
- VII Certain defects in the international application
- VIII Certain observations on the international application

3. The applicant is hereby invited to reply to this opinion.

When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this Authority to grant an extension, see Rule 66.2(d).

How? By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.

Also: For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.
For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and / or arguments, see Rule 66.4bis.
For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.

If no reply is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.

4. The final date by which the international preliminary examination report must be established according to Rule 69.2 is: 21/08/1999

Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority



European Patent Office
D-80298 Munich
Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0, Tx: 523656 epmu d
Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465

Authorized officer / Examiner
Ortega Plaza, M.D.

Formalities officer (incl. extension of time limits)
Bleeker, M
Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399-8141



I. Basis of the opinion

1. This opinion has been drawn on the basis of (*substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this opinion as "originally filed".*):

Description, pages:

1-17 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-29 as originally filed

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- the description, pages:
 the claims, Nos.:
 the drawings, sheets:

3. This opinion has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been and will not be examined in respect of:

- the entire international application,
 claims Nos. 27,

because:

- the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):
- the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 27 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

see separate sheet

- the claims, or said claims Nos. 27 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
- no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. .

V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement**1. Statement**

Novelty (N)	Claims 1-6, 10, 12-14, 16-18, 28, 29
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-26, 28,29
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims

2. Citations and explanations**see separate sheet****VIII. Certain observations on the international application**

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Section III.

1. The composition as claimed in claim 27 relates to a "tablet which demonstrates the following cumulative percent release dissolution criteria using a pH gradient method of dissolution; 0-40% released in 1 hour in dissolution media of pH 1.50, 0-50% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 4.5, 5-70% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 6.5, 20-100% released in 15 hours in dissolution media of pH 7.5."

No information with respect to the methodology implied above could be found in the description. Moreover, no support could be found in the description as originally filed for the data appearing in said claim 27.

Section V.

1. The following documents have been considered for the establishment of the present written opinion:

D1 = US-A-5000962 ✓

D2 = EP-A-0226884 ✓

D3 = Derwent abstract of JP-A-03206039

D4 = EP-A-0253490 ✓

D5 = EP-A-0157695 ✓

D6 = US-A-5015479 ✓

D7 = US-A-5451409 ✓

D8 = EP-A-0468436 ✓

D9 = Shan-Yang Lin, European Journal of pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 42(3), 193-198 (1996). ✓

D10 = US-A-4601894 (cited in the description)

2. Claim 1 relates to a controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising:

- a) at least one pharmaceutically active substance
- b) a first "intelligent" polymer component

c) a second "intelligent" polymer component having **opposite wettability** characteristics to said first "intelligent" polymer component

the first and the second polymer components being present in a ratio in the range of about 1:100 to about 100:1 by weight.

D1 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising as pharmaceutically active substance diltiazem and further comprising hydroxymethyl cellulose or hydroxyethylcellulose used alone or in combination (cf. column 2, lines 58-61). Moreover, the compositions disclosed in D1 further comprise ethylcellulose as hydrophobic component (cf. column 4 and examples 2 and 3). Therefore, the presently claimed subject-matter (cf. claims 1-5, 6, 14, 16, 28) lacks novelty over the contents of D1. The said claims do not include the technical feature that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability. Accordingly, in the absence of the said feature the mentioned claims encompass the tablets disclosed in D1.

D2 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions as those claimed in claims 1,2,5,10, 16-18, 28 and 29 (cf. i.a. example 1, the composition comprising diltiazem, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose and pages 10 to 12). D2 also discloses compositions further comprising coating agents and additives as those defined in the above mentioned claims 5,10, 17,18.

The pharmaceutical compositions disclosed in D3 fall within the scope of present claim 1 (2,3,5,10,12,13,16,29) since they comprise nifedipine as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprise ethylcellulose (EC) and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose.

D4 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising carbidopa/levodopa as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprising a system of two polymers with opposed hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity characteristics. The polymer components hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose (EC) and are listed on page 3. Moreover, since claim 1 does not

include specific definitions of the polymer components the composition of example 3 of D4 falls within its scope. Additionally, the pharmaceutically active substance(s) are dispersed within a polymer matrix in the compositions of D4.

The contents of D5 are novelty destroying for the subject-matter claimed in claims 1- and 8 since it discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (cf. page 12), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. The compositions of D5 further comprise a surface active agent (cf. i.a. examples 3-4 of D5 and compare with present claim 8).

The present compositions appear to be novel over the compositions disclosed in D6, D7, D9 basically, in view that they are comprising two different polymer components having opposite wettability characteristics.

The sustained release compositions disclosed in D8 fall within the scope of present claim 1 since they are comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (theophylline), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylcellulose. The method for preparing the compositions of D8 differs from the presently claimed method (cf. claim 23) basically due to the fact that the pharmaceutically active substance is worked out separately with each polymer component to form a two separate sustained release powders which are then mixed together (cf. example 7 of D8). The compositions as defined in claim 1 lack novelty since there is no indication to the homogeneous polymer matrix.

The subject-matter claimed in claim 1 lacks novelty vis-à-vis the contents of D10 in view of the fact that D10 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising at least one pharmaceutically active substance (they comprise three) within a uniform matrix comprising as carrier hydroxypropylcellulose and ethyl cellulose.

3. The problem underlying the present patent application appears to lie in the provision of further controlled release pharmaceutical compositions useful for sustained release delivery of pharmaceutically active substances.
It becomes evident from the analysis of the prior art made in point 2 above that

the presently claimed subject-matter relates to a known solution for the said technical problem. Moreover, those claims which appear to be novel in the light of the prior art cited above, merely differ therefrom in the choice and/or combination of known pharmaceutically acceptable additives. Accordingly, in the absence of any proven unexpected effect over the closest known controlled release pharmaceutical compositions, the presently claimed subject-matter lacks an inventive step.

Section VIII.

1. Claims 1, 14 and 19 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons.

The mentioned claims do not include all the technical features which should characterize the invention in a clear and complete manner as contribution to the art. The mentioned claims are silent about the fact that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability (cf. pages 4, line 36 and 8, line 24). Other essential features are the proportion of each polymers in the polymer blend, the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of the polymers (cf. page 5).

Moreover, the use of broad and vague expressions such as "intelligent polymer" renders obscure the scope for which protection has been sought (cf. claim 1). Moreover, such an expression has no standard meaning in the polymer field and has not been defined in the description.

Additionally, the expression "the polymer components being effective for controlled release of said pharmaceutically active substance from said composition" relates to the result-to-be-achieved and is insufficient for defining the nature of the polymer components.

The fact that the amount of **ethylcellulose** in the composition **should not be less than 5% wt/wt** is lacking in claim 1. This is an essential feature of the invention (cf. i.a. page 8).

In claims 14 and 19 the ratio of one polymer component to the other is stated to be 1:100 to 100:1, however, it is lacking that it is **by weight**.

The use of the expression "about" in connexion with ranges of values of essential parameters renders obscure the scope for which protection is sought (cf. for instance "about" in claim 14).

The technical feature "having a water contact angle" does not bring any actual restriction with respect to the nature of the pharmaceutically active substance, in view of the broad range values for the *cosine* function. This is proven by the list of possible agents given on pages 10-12 of the description.



EPA/EPO/OEB
D-80298 MÜNCHEN
 (089) 2399-0
TX 523 656 epmu d
FAX (089) 2399-4465

**Europäisches
Patentamt**

Generaldirektion 2

**European
Patent Office**

Directorate General 2

**Office européen
des brevets**

Direction Générale 2

Correspondence with the EPO on PCT Chapter II demands

In order to ensure that your PCT Chapter II demand is dealt with as promptly as possible you are requested to use the enclosed self-adhesive labels with any correspondence relating to the demand sent to the Munich Office.

One of these labels should be affixed to a prominent place in the upper part of the letter or form etc. which you are filing.

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

09/403437

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-4 LAB	FOR FURTHER ACTION see Notification of Transmittal of International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/220) as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/CA 98/00274	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/04/1998	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 05/04/1997
Applicant ODIDI, Isa et al.		

This International Search Report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau.

This International Search Report consists of a total of 3 sheets.

It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.

1. Certain claims were found unsearchable (see Box I).
2. Unity of invention is lacking (see Box II).
3. The international application contains disclosure of a nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing and the international search was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing
 - filed with the international application.
 - furnished by the applicant separately from the international application.
 - but not accompanied by a statement to the effect that it did not include matter going beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed.
 - Transcribed by this Authority
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant
 the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows:
CONTROLLED RELEASE FORMULATIONS USING INTELLIGENT POLYMERS

5. With regard to the abstract,
 - the text is approved as submitted by the applicant
 - the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box III. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this International Search Report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. The figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is:
 Figure No. _____
 - as suggested by the applicant.
 - because the applicant failed to suggest a figure.
 - because this figure better characterizes the invention. None of the figures.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CA 98/00274

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 6 A61K9/22

According to International Patent Classification(IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 6 A61K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 5 000 962 A (SANGEKAR ET AL.) 19 March 1991 see column 6; example 3 ---	1,2,5, 10,11, 16,27,28
X	EP 0 226 884 A (COLOMBO ET AL.) 1 July 1987 see page 10 - page 12; example 1 ---	1,2,5, 10, 16-18,29
X	DATABASE WPI. Week 9142 Derwent Publications Ltd., London, GB; AN 91-307312 XP002079685 & JP 03 206039 A (KYOTO PHARM IND CO LTD) , 9 September 1991 see abstract --- -/-	1-3,5, 10,12, 13,16,29

Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

6 October 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

15/10/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Benz, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/CA 98/00274

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	EP 0 253 490 A (MERCK & CO) 20 January 1988 see page 8; example 3 see claims 1-3,5-7 ----	1-3
X	EP 0 157 695 A (FOREST LABORATORIES, INC.) 9 October 1985 see page 19 - page 20; examples 3,4 ----	1-3,8
Y	US 5 015 479 A (MULLIGAN ET AL.) 14 May 1991 see column 6; example 10 ----	4
Y	US 5 451 409 A (RENCHER ET AL.) 19 September 1995 see claim 1 ----	4
A	EP 0 468 436 A (SS PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD.) 29 January 1992 see page 12; example 7 ----	23
A	SHAN-YANG LIN ET AL.: "water uptake and drug release behaviour of drug-loaded compacts prepared from different grades of ethylcellulose" EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF PHARMACEUTICS AND BIOPHARMACEUTICS, vol. 42, no. 3, June 1996, pages 193-198, XP000589998 Amsterdam (NL) see the whole document -----	23

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/CA 98/00274

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
US 5000962	A	19-03-1991	NONE		
EP 226884	A	01-07-1987	AU 594992 B AU 6672586 A CA 1298479 A JP 2535339 B JP 62155211 A US 4839177 A		22-03-1990 25-06-1987 07-04-1992 18-09-1996 10-07-1987 13-06-1989
EP 253490	A	20-01-1988	AU 597670 B AU 7422887 A CY 1578 A DK 170514 B HK 56191 A IE 60508 B JP 1715235 C JP 4000045 B JP 63054319 A KR 9502882 B PT 85049 B US 4900755 A US 4983400 A ZA 8704233 A		07-06-1990 17-12-1987 20-12-1991 09-10-1995 26-07-1991 27-07-1994 27-11-1992 06-01-1992 08-03-1988 28-03-1995 08-03-1990 13-02-1990 08-01-1991 14-12-1987
EP 157695	A	09-10-1985	US 4795327 A CA 1240925 A DE 3583694 A DK 136385 A, B, JP 60218329 A US 4849229 A		03-01-1989 23-08-1988 12-09-1991 27-09-1985 01-11-1985 18-07-1989
US 5015479	A	14-05-1991	NONE		
US 5451409	A	19-09-1995	NONE		
EP 468436	A	29-01-1992	JP 2572673 B JP 4082825 A CA 2046845 A DE 69128569 D DE 69128569 T		16-01-1997 16-03-1992 26-01-1992 12-02-1998 16-04-1998

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/CA 98/00274

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 468436 A		ES 2111547 T US 5164193 A	16-03-1998 17-11-1992

DD
09/409437

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D 20 JUL 1999
WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 9577-4 LAB	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/CA98/00274	International filing date (day/month/year) 03/04/1998	Priority date (day/month/year) 21/04/1997
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC A61K9/22		
Applicant ODIDI, Isa et al.		

1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.

This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).

These annexes consist of a total of 2 sheets.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- I Basis of the report
- II Priority
- III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- IV Lack of unity of invention
- V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- VI Certain documents cited
- VII Certain defects in the international application
- VIII Certain observations on the international application

Date of submission of the demand 22/10/1998	Date of completion of this report 17.08
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority: European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. (+49-89) 2399-0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: (+49-89) 2399-4465	Authorized officer Ortega Plaza, M.D. Telephone No. (+49-89) 2399 8284



**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

I. Basis of the report

1. This report has been drawn on the basis of (*substitute sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to the report since they do not contain amendments.*):

Description, pages:

1-17 as originally filed

Claims, No.:

1-10,23 (part), as originally filed
24-29

11-22,23 (part) as received on 11/05/1999 with letter of 11/05/1999

2. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

the description, pages:
 the claims, Nos.:
 the drawings, sheets:

3. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

4. Additional observations, if necessary:

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:

the entire international application.
 claims Nos. 27.

because:

the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

not require an international preliminary examination (*specify*):

- the description, claims or drawings (*indicate particular elements below*) or said claims Nos. 27 are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (*specify*):

see separate sheet

- the claims, or said claims Nos. 27 are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.

- no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos. .

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N) Yes: Claims 5-9, 15, 19-26

No: Claims 1-6, 10, 12-14, 16-18, 28, 29

Inventive step (IS) Yes: Claims

No: Claims 1-26, 28, 29

Industrial applicability (IA) Yes: Claims 1-26, 28, 29

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

Section III.

1. The composition as claimed in claim 27 relates to a "tablet which demonstrates the following cumulative percent release dissolution criteria using a pH gradient method of dissolution; 0-40% released in 1 hour in dissolution media of pH 1.50, 0-50% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 4.5, 5-70% released in 2 hours in dissolution media of pH 6.5, 20-100% released in 15 hours in dissolution media of pH 7.5."

No information with respect to the methodology implied above could be found in the description. Moreover, no support could be found in the description as originally filed for the data appearing in said claim 27.

Section V.

1. The following documents have been considered for the establishment of the present preliminary report:

D1 = US-A-5000962

D2 = EP-A-0226884

D3 = Derwent abstract of JP-A-03206039

D4 = EP-A-0253490

D5 = EP-A-0157695

D6 = US-A-5015479

D7 = US-A-5451409

D8 = EP-A-0468436

D9 = Shan-Yang Lin, European Journal of pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 42(3), 193-198 (1996).

D10 = US-A-4601894 (cited in the description)

2. Claim 1 relates to a controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising:
 - a) at least one pharmaceutically active substance
 - b) a first "intelligent" polymer component

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

c) a second "intelligent" polymer component having **opposite wettability** characteristics to said first "intelligent" polymer component

the first and the second polymer components being present in a ratio in the range of about 1:100 to about 100:1 by weight.

D1 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising as pharmaceutically active substance diltiazem and further comprising hydroxymethyl cellulose or hydroxyethylcellulose used alone or in combination (cf. column 2, lines 58-61). Moreover, the compositions disclosed in D1 further comprise ethylcellulose as hydrophobic component (cf. column 4 and examples 2 and 3). Therefore, the presently claimed subject-matter (cf. claims 1-5, 6, 14, 16, 28) lacks novelty over the contents of D1. The feature "not less than **about** 5% by weight ethylcellulose" does not exclude the content 4% by wt. ethylcellulose (cf. D1). The said claims do not include the technical feature that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability. Accordingly, in the absence of the said feature the mentioned claims encompass the tablets disclosed in D1.

D2 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions as those claimed in claims 1,2,5,10, 16-18, 28 and 29 (cf. i.a. example 1, the composition comprising diltiazem, hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose and pages 10 to 12). D2 also discloses compositions further comprising coating agents and additives as those defined in the above mentioned claims 5,10, 17,18.

The pharmaceutical compositions disclosed in D3 fall within the scope of present claim 1 (2,3,5,10,12,13,16,29) since they comprise nifedipine as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprise ethylcellulose (EC) and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose.

D4 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising carbidopa/levodopa as pharmaceutically active substance and further comprising a system of two polymers with opposed hydrophilicity/hydrophobicity

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

characteristics. The polymer components hydroxypropylmethylcellulose and ethylcellulose (EC) and are listed on page 3. Moreover, since claim 1 does not include specific definitions of the polymer components the composition of example 3 of D4 falls within its scope. Additionally, the pharmaceutically active substance(s) are dispersed within a polymer matrix in the compositions of D4.

The contents of D5 are novelty destroying for the subject-matter claimed in claims 1- and 8 since it discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (cf. page 12), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. The compositions of D5 further comprise a surface active agent (cf. i.a. examples 3-4 of D5 and compare with present claim 8).

The present compositions appear to be novel over the compositions disclosed in D6, D7, D9 basically, in view that they are comprising two different polymer components having opposite wettability characteristics.

The sustained release compositions disclosed in D8 fall within the scope of present claim 1 since they are comprising a pharmaceutically active substance (theophylline), ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropylcellulose. The method for preparing the compositions of D8 differs from the presently claimed method (cf. claim 23) basically due to the fact that the pharmaceutically active substance is worked out separately with each polymer component to form a two separate sustained release powders which are then mixed together (cf. example 7 of D8). The compositions as defined in claim 1 lack novelty since there is no indication to the homogeneous polymer matrix.

The subject-matter claimed in claim 1 lacks novelty vis-à-vis the contents of D10 in view of the fact that D10 discloses controlled release pharmaceutical compositions comprising at least one pharmaceutically active substance (they comprise three) within a uniform matrix comprising as carrier hydroxypropylcellulose and ethyl cellulose.

It is to be stressed that the statement of a different mechanism for achieving the purpose of controlled release cannot serve to establish novelty over the prior art compositions as far as such effect is not linked to **new technical features**

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

included in the claims for defining the subject-matter for which protection is sought. The broadly and vaguely formulated claims have the effect that they encompass known compositions and hence the **claimed** subject-matter lacks novelty. Moreover, contrary to Applicant's comments claim 1 does not exclude the option of having only one first intelligent polymer and one second intelligent polymer. Nothing in claim 1 presupposes that the hydrophilic component comprises **two** polymers and the hydrophobic component comprises **one** polymer.

3. The problem underlying the present patent application appears to lie in the provision of further controlled release pharmaceutical compositions useful for sustained release delivery of pharmaceutically active substances.
It becomes evident from the analysis of the prior art made in point 2 above that the presently claimed subject-matter encompasses known solutions for the said technical problem. Moreover, those claims which appear to be novel in the light of the prior art cited above, merely differ therefrom in the choice and/or combination of known pharmaceutically acceptable additives. Accordingly, in the absence of any proven unexpected effect over the closest known controlled release pharmaceutical compositions, the presently claimed subject-matter lacks an inventive step.

Section VIII.

1. Claims 1, 14 and 19 do not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT for the following reasons.

The mentioned claims do not include all the technical features which should characterize the invention in a clear and complete manner as contribution to the art. The mentioned claims are silent about the fact that the pharmaceutically active ingredient is incorporated within a **homogeneous matrix** comprising the two polymers having opposing wettability (cf. pages 4, line 36 and 8, line 24). Other essential features are the proportion of each polymers in the polymer blend, the hydrophobicity and hydrophilicity of the polymers (cf. page 5).

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/CA98/00274

Moreover, the use of broad and vague expressions such as "intelligent polymer" renders obscure the scope for which protection has been sought (cf. claim 1). Moreover, such an expression has no standard meaning in the polymer field and has not been defined in the description.

Additionally, the expression "the polymer components being effective for controlled release of said pharmaceutically active substance from said composition" relates to the result-to-be-achieved and is insufficient for defining the nature of the polymer components.

The fact that the amount of ethylcellulose in the composition should not be less than 5% wt/wt is lacking in claim 1. This is an essential feature of the invention (cf. i.a. page 8).

The use of the expression "about" in connexion with ranges of values of essential parameters renders obscure the scope for which protection is sought (cf. for instance "about" in claim 14).

The technical feature "having a water contact angle" does not bring any actual restriction with respect to the nature of the pharmaceutically active substance, in view of the broad range values for the cosine function. This is proven by the list of possible agents given on pages 10-12 of the description.

09/403437

Sim & McBurney
Patent and Trade Mark Agents

420 Recept PTO 2 1999

330 University Avenue
6th Floor
Toronto, Canada
M5G 1R7
Telephone (416) 595-1155
Cable "Simbas" Toronto
Fax (416) 595-1163
Telex 065-24567 Simbas

MICHAEL J. STEWART
ROGER J. HUGHES
TONI POLSON ASHTON
JOHN H. WOODLEY
KENNETH D. MCKAY
BRENDA L. BOARDMAN
TIMOTHY M. LOWMAN
STEPHEN M. LANE
ARTHUR B. RENAUD
STEPHEN J. PERRY
PATRICIA A. RAE
DAVID A. RUSTON
THOMAS T. RIEDER
WARREN J. GALLOWAY
JOHN N. ALLPORT
DAVID R. WYRTSIUK
GILLIAN M. SMITH
L.E. TRENT HORNE
LOLA A. BARTOSZEWCZ

SENIOR CONSULTANT
PETER W. MCBURNAY
TECHNICAL ASSISTANTS
JEFFREY W. WONG, B.Sc.E.E.
URSULA M. MC GUINNESS, Ph.D.

Please Quote

Our ref. 9577-4 LAB

Your ref.

Writer's Ext. 283

Serial No.: PCT/CA98/00274

Applicant: Dr. Isa Odidi and Dr. Amina Odidi

Title: **CONTROLLED RELEASE FORMULATION USING
INTELLIGENT POLYMERS HAVING OPPOSING
WETTABILITY CHARACTERISTICS OF
HYDROPHOBICITY AND HYDROPHILICITY**

International

Filing Date: 03/04/1998

Examiner: Ortega Plaza, M.D.

Date: May 11, 1999

DELIVERED VIA FACSIMILE (Confirmation by Courier)
(Fax No. 011-49-89-23 99-44 65)

REPLY

International Preliminary Examining Authority
European Patent Office
Erhardstrasse 27
D-80298 München
Germany

Dear Sirs:

This amendment is responsive to the Written Opinion dated February 11, 1999 in the above-identified International Application.

AMENDMENTS

Kindly replace pages 19 and 20 of the claims with new pages 19 and 20

which include amended claims 14 and 19.

REMARKS

The examiner asserts that claims 1-5, 6, 14, 16 and 20 lack novelty over D1 (US 5,000,962). Applicant respectfully submits that in D1 ethylcellulose was used as binder while in the present invention ethylcellulose is used as a release controlling polymer. In addition, the hydrophilic component of the present invention comprises two polymers while the example in D1 comprises a single polymer in each component. Thus, it is believed that claim 1 and the claims dependent therefrom distinguish over the D1 reference. Furthermore, in the D1 example 4% ethylcellulose was used while independent claim 14 specifies the use of ethylcellulose in an amount not less than 5% of the formulation. Thus, claim 14 and the claims dependent therefrom also distinguish from the D1 reference.

The examiner further asserts that claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 16-18, 28 and 29 lack novelty over D2 (EP-A-0226884). Applicant respectfully submits that the example referred to by the examiner addresses a completely different formulation with different release mechanisms and different release characteristics in vivo and in vitro. In the present claim 1, the hydrophilic component comprises two polymers and the hydrophobic component comprises one polymer while in D2 each component comprises a single polymer. Furthermore, mannitol is used in the cited example. There is no mention of the function that the mannitol is performing. In the present invention, mannitol is not used and lactose is used as a channelling agent. The channelling and wicking agents act in concert with the polymers to modulate the release of an active substance. In addition, the cited reference discloses a deposit core with a defined geometric form and a support platform applied to the deposit core. The support platform only partially coats the deposit core and it is not an anionic copolymer coating. In contrast, the present invention discloses an anionic copolymer coating which completely covers the tablet in one or more

concentric encasements. Unlike the cited example, the encasement coating of the present invention does not limit the swelling of tablets because it disintegrates completely via pH activation of surface groups. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 5, 10, 16-18, 28 and 29 distinguish over D2 (EP-A-0226884).

Applicant respectfully submits that cited reference D3 addresses a formulation and process that differs significantly from the present invention in that polymers are used alone in solution rather than as part of a hydrophobic or hydrophilic component.

Likewise, there is little similarity between the composition of example 3 of D4 that was cited by the examiner and the present invention. Claim 1 specifies that the hydrophilic component comprises two polymers and the hydrophobic component comprises one polymer. This feature also distinguishes over D5 that was cited by the examiner.

The examiner also asserts that the sustained release compositions of D8 fall within the scope of claim 1. The examiner concedes that the process as outlined in claim 23 differs from the method used to make the compositions of D8. Applicant submits that the process of the present patent will result in a completely different controlled release dosage form having different characteristics from that shown in example 7 of D8.

Finally, the examiner asserts that claim 1 lacks novelty vis-à-vis the contents of D10. Applicant respectfully submits that D10 does not disclose a hydrophilic component comprising two polymers and a hydrophobic component comprising one polymer.

In order to appreciate the novelty of the present invention over the cited references, it is necessary to consider the total formulation and not one excipient at a time since all of the agents in the formulation act in concert to modulate drug release. In view of the above, it is believed that the claims exhibit novelty over the cited references.

The examiner asserts that there is a lack of support in the disclosure for claim 27. Applicant respectfully submits that the effect of pH on the dissolution of the tablets is described on page 10 and one skilled in the art would readily be able to extrapolate the rates claimed in claim 27.

The examiner objected to claims 1, 14, and 19 as failing to include all of the technical features which characterize the invention. Applicant respectfully submits that the claims as they stand claim the essential features of the invention in a clear and complete manner sufficient to distinguish over the prior art. Inclusion within the claims of the specific limitations outlined by the examiner would unduly limit the scope of protection to which the applicant is entitled.

Claims 14 and 19 have been amended to include the phrase "by weight" as suggested by the examiner. Applicant does not wish to amend the claims at this time to delete the term "about" since this is considered an acceptable term in many Patent Offices and will be addressed in the national phase applications.

Respectfully submitted,

*Patricia Lee
for Lola Bartoszewicz*

PAR/ca
Encls

11. The composition of claim 10, wherein said channeling agent is anhydrous lactose.
12. The composition of claim 1, wherein said composition further comprises 5% to 30% compression enhancer.
- 5
13. The composition of claim 10, wherein said compression enhancer is microcrystalline cellulose.
14. A controlled release pharmaceutical composition comprising:
- 10 (a) from about 0.5% to about 70% by weight of a pharmaceutically active substance having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848;
- (b) not less than about 5% by weight ethylcellulose;
- (c) about 1:100 to 100:1 hydroxycellulose and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose by weight;
- 15 (d) about 0.25% to 5% excipients; and
- (e) about 0.5% to 15% surface active agents.
16. The composition of claim 14, wherein said composition additionally comprises
- about 10% to 70% channeling agents; and
- 20 - about 5% to 30% compression enhancers.
17. The tableted composition of claim 16, wherein said tableted composition has a anionic copolymer coating.
- 25 18. The tableted composition of claim 17, wherein said copolymer coating comprises methacrylic acid and methyl methacrylate, from about 0% to 25% plasticizer, from about 0% to 25% pigment, from about 0% to 30% glidant and from about 0% to 30% lubricant.
- 30 19. A controlled release composition, the composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of a pharmaceutically active ingredient having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848; two groups of intelligent polymers having opposing wettability characteristics, one group demonstrating a stronger tendency towards hydrophobicity and present in an amount not less than 5% wt/wt and the other group having a

stronger tendency towards hydrophilicity and present in the ratio of about 1:100 and 100:1 by weight, the polymers being ethylcellulose (EC) as a more strongly hydrophobic and hydroxyethylcellulose (HEC) and hydroxypropyl methylcellulose (HPMC) as more strongly hydrophilic, about 0.25% to 5% silicon dioxide; and about 0.5% to 15% sodium lauryl sulfate.

5

20. The composition of claim 19, wherein said composition additionally comprises about 10% to 70% anhydrous lactose and about 5% to 30% microcrystalline cellulose.

10

21. The composition of claim 18 or 20, wherein said composition is provided as a tablet and has a coating composition comprising anionic copolymers sufficient to obtain about 0.5 to 15 mg per cm² of tablet.

15

22. The composition of claim 21, wherein said coating composition additionally comprises from about 0 to 25% plasticizer, about 0 to 25% pigment, about 0 to 30% and about 0 to 30% lubricant.

23. A process for the manufacture of a sustained release composition of pharmaceutically active substance, said process comprising:

20

(a) admixing a pharmaceutically active substance having a water contact angle (θ) such that $\cos \theta$ is between +0.9848 and -0.9848;

25

(b) blending the pharmaceutically active ingredient with about 5 to 25% hydroxypropyl methylcellulose, about 1 to 25% hydroxyethylcellulose, about 0.25% to 5% suitable pharmaceutical excipients, about 0.5% to 15% suitable surface active agents, and about 10% to 70% channelling agents in a high shear mixer until a homogeneous mixture is obtained;

(c) granulating the homogeneous blend with isopropyl alcohol (99%) in a planetary or high shear mixer;

30

(d) drying the wet granules to a loss on drying of about <3% and organic volatile impurities of isopropyl alcohol about <15000 ppm;

(e) milling the dry granules to about <1500 microns;

(f) adding and blending about 5% to 70% of ethylcellulose having 30-60% ethoxyl content and a viscosity of 60- 100 cps to the dry milled granules until a homogeneous blend is obtained;